Franchise Tax Board

NO ANALYSIS REQUIRED

Author: DeVore/Walters	_ Analyst:	Raul Guz	man	Bill Number:	AB 249
Related Bills: None	_ Telephone:	845-4624	_ Amended Dat	e: <u>July 13, 2</u> 0	005
	_ Attorney:	Patrick Ku	usiak Spon	sor:	
SUBJECT: To Conduct a Study Regarding Taxpayers' Usage of Tax Form 540 2EZ					
ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED of this bill – Not within scope of responsibility of this department.					
TECHNICAL BILL – No program or fiscal changes to existing program.					
BILL AS AMENDED NO LONGER WITHIN SCOPE of responsibility or program of the department.					
TECHNICAL AMENDMENT – No change in previously submitted analysis required. Approved position of prior analysis is					
X MINOR AMENDMENT – No change in previously submitted analysis required. Approved position of prior analysis is pending.					
MINOR AMENDMENT – No change in approved position of See Comments below					
X OTHER – See comments below.					
COMMENTS:					
This bill would require the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO), in combination with the Franchise Tax					
Board (FTB), to conduct a study regarding Form 540 2EZ.					
The July 13, 2005, amendment added a date for completing the study. The required report to the Legislature would be due no later than January 1, 2008.					
The prior analysis of the bill as amended June 20, 2005, still applies.					
Board Position:			Franchise Tax	Board Staff	Date
SNA		NP			
O N OUA	<u> </u>	NAR PENDING	Raul Guzman		8/26/2005
00A	-	LLIADIIAG			